

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Richard R. REISMAN

Appl. No.: 09/553,337

Filed: April 20, 2000

For: **Method for Updating Software**

Confirmation No.: 5134

Art Unit: 2182

Examiner: Tammara R. Peyton

Atty. Docket: 2222.4310003

**Notice of Concurrent Litigation and Eighth Supplemental Information  
Disclosure Statement**

*Mail Stop Amendment*

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

***Notice of Concurrent Litigation***

The Examiner is hereby notified that U.S. Patent Nos. 6,557,054 (the '054 patent) and 6,658,464 (the '464 patent), which are related to the present application, are involved in a litigation in the United States District Court of Delaware styled *Intellectual Ventures I LLC and Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Motorola Mobility, Inc.* (Case No. 1:11-cv-00908-UNA) (the "Litigation"). Intellectual Ventures I LLC ("Intellectual Ventures I") and Intellectual Ventures II LLC ("Intellectual Ventures II") (collectively, "Intellectual Ventures I and II") filed a complaint in the Litigation on October 6, 2011, alleging patent infringement of a number of patents, including the '054 and '464 patents. The Complaint and Docket for this litigation are being submitted herewith as NPL1 and NPL2, respectively. If upon review of the Docket the Examiner should wish to review any other materials, information or documents from the Litigation, then the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

Listed on accompanying IDS Forms PTO/SB/08a equivalent and/or PTO/SB/08b equivalent are documents that may be considered material to the patentability of this application as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56, and in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. The numbering on this Eighth Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is a continuation of the numbering in Applicant's Seventh Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed on August 7, 2007 in connection with the above-captioned application.

Where the publication date of a listed document does not provide a month of publication, the year of publication of the listed document is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date so that the month of publication is not in issue. Applicant has listed publication dates on the attached IDS Forms based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicant reserves the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent application does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

Applicant has checked the appropriate boxes below.

- ☐ 1. Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.704(d). Each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.
- ☐ 2. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the date of filing of a national application other than a continued prosecution application (CPA), OR within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.491 in an international application, OR before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits OR before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. No statement or fee is required.
- ☐ 3. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection, or Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

- ☐ a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- ☐ b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- ☐ c. The required fee is provided through online credit card payment authorization in the amount of \$180.00 in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).
- ☒ 4. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but on or before payment of the Issue Fee. The required fee is provided through online credit card payment authorization in the amount of \$180.00 in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p); in addition:

- ☐ a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- ☒ b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- ☐ 5. The document(s) was/were cited in a search report by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application. Submission of an English language version of the search report that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is provided in satisfaction of the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance. 1138 OG 37, 38 and MPEP 609.04(a)(III).
- ☐ 6. A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3).
- ☒ 7. Copies of documents **NPL1-NPL2** are submitted.

- ☐ 8. Copies of the \_\_\_\_\_ documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in an IDS that complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)-(c) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached. 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).
- ☒ 9. It is expected that the examiner will review the prosecution and cited art in the parent application no(s). 08/892,157, filed on Dec. 1, 1997, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,125,388, U.S. Appl. No. 08/641,010, filed on Apr. 29, 1996, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,594,692, and U.S. Appl. No. 08/251,724, filed on May 31, 1994, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,694,546, in accordance with MPEP 2001.06(b), and it is requested that the examiner will review the prosecution and cited art in the child application no(s). 10/954,239, filed October 1, 2004, U.S. Appl. 11/929,075, filed October 30, 2007 and 12/840,775, filed July 21, 2010, and indicate in the next communication from the office that the art cited in the earlier prosecution history has been reviewed in connection with the present application.
- ☐ 10. In accordance with the Federal Circuit decision in *Dayco Prods., Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.* 329 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2003), Applicants submit herewith Office Actions from the co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_, as documents \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

The identification of these Office Actions is not to be construed as a waiver of secrecy as to those applications now or upon issuance of the present application

as a patent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited applications and the art cited therein during examination.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed IDS Forms, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Michael D. Specht  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 54,463

Date: 10/19/11

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934  
(202) 371-2600